

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ck

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/084,826	02/24/2002	Alan P. Wolffe	8325-0014.20	4340
7590 12/15/2005			EXAM	INER
ROBINS & PASTERNAK LLP			AKHAVAN	N, RAMIN
1731 Embarcadero Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 230			AKTONII	TAI LK NOMBEK
Palo Alto, CA 94303			1636	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/084,826	WOLFFE ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
	Remy Yucel, Ph.D.	1636	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 11/16 05.

	1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s):	d a conference will not be held for the following
	☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurr ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other:	iew is appropriate.
	The time period for filing a response continues to run fro the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Noti	
	2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decirunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicheve appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal sion, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
	☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>34-36 and 40-43</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	m(s) is as follows:
	3. Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.	
	4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap	
All	l participants:	•
(1)	Remy Yucel, Ph.D.	(3) <u>Dave Guzo</u> .
(2)	Drew Wang.	(4) <u>Ray Akhavan</u> .